6	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC MATTHEW J. MATERN (SBN 159798) JOSHUA D. BOXER (SBN 226712) CLARE E. MORAN (SBN 340539) 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200 Manhattan Beach, California 90266 Telephone: (310) 531-1900 Facsimile: (310) 531-1901 Email: mmatern@maternlawgroup.com Email: jboxer@maternlawgroup.com Email: cmoran@maternlawgroup.com	E-FILED 1/27/2022 10:58 AM Clerk of Court Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara 22CV393878 Reviewed By: M. Dominguez	
7 8	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC COREY B. BENNETT (SBN 267816) 1330 Broadway, Suite 428		
9	Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 227-3998		
10	Facsimile: (310) 531-1901 cbennett@maternlawgroup.com		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff SHANEL DICKSON		
12			
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
15		22CV393878	
16	SHANEL DICKSON, an individual,	CASE NO.:	
17 18	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:	
19	vs. TESLA ENERGY OPERATIONS, INC., a	 Sexual and Racial Harassment in Violation of Cal. Gov't Code § 12940(j) (FEHA); 	
20	Delaware corporation; TESLA, INC., a Delaware corporation; KENNY GRIFFIN, an	2. Gender and Race Discrimination in Violation of Cal. Gov't Code § 12940(a);	
21	individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	3. Failure to Take Steps Necessary to Prevent Harassment, Discrimination, and/or	
22	Defendants.	Retaliation in Violation of Cal. Gov't Code § 12940(k);	
23		 4. Retaliation in Violation of Cal. Gov't Code § 12940(h); 5. Intentional Infliction of Emotional 	
24		Distress; 6. Negligent Hiring, Supervision, and/or	
25		Retention; 7. Constructive Discharge in Violation of	
26		Public Policy.	
27		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
28			

MATERN LAW GROUP
1230 ROSECRANS
AVENUE, STE 200
MANHATTAN
BEACH, CA 90266

-1-

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff SHANEL DICKSON ("PLAINTIFF"), an individual, demanding a jury trial, brings this action against Defendants TESLA ENERGY OPERATIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation doing business in the State of California ("TESLA ENERGY"); TESLA, INC., a Delaware corporation doing business in the state of California ("TESLA"); KENNY GRIFFIN ("GRIFFIN"), an individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive (collectively, "DEFENDANTS"), to remedy DEFENDANTS' employment practices and policies of sexual and racial harassment, discrimination, retaliation, failure to prevent discrimination and harassment, intentional infliction of emotional distress, constructive discharge in violation of public policy, and other unlawful and tortious conduct. PLAINTIFF seeks compensatory damages, punitive damages, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction in this matter because PLAINTIFF was a resident and a citizen of the State of California at all times relevant to this complaint, and DEFENDANTS are residents and citizens of, and/or regularly conduct business in, the State of California. Further, no federal question is at issue, because the claims are based solely on California law.
- 3. Venue is proper in the County of Santa Clara, California because PLAINTIFF performed work for DEFENDANTS in the County of Santa Clara, and DEFENDANTS' unlawful actions and omissions, set forth herein, occurred in the County of Santa Clara.

PLAINTIFF

4. PLAINTIFF is a female resident and citizen of the State of New York. PLAINTIFF was employed by DEFENDANTS in the County of Santa Clara, California from in or around September 2020 to September 2021.

DEFENDANTS

5. On information and belief, PLAINTIFF alleges that Defendant TESLA ENERGY is and at all relevant times was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that TESLA ENERGY conducts business in the State of California. Specifically, upon information and belief,

11

17

21 22

20

24

25

23

26

27

TESLA ENERGY conducts business in the County of Santa Clara, where PLAINTIFF worked, and where the unlawful conduct occurred.

- 6. On information and belief, PLAINTIFF alleges that Defendant TESLA is and at all relevant times was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that TESLA conducts business in the State of California. Specifically, upon information and belief, TESLA conducts business in the County of Santa Clara, where PLAINTIFF worked, and where the unlawful conduct occurred.
- 7. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant GRIFFIN is a male resident of the State of California. At all times relevant herein, GRIFFIN was employed by TESLA ENERGY and TESLA, and served as PLAINTIFF's direct supervisor. Additionally, GRIFFIN acted within the course and scope of his employment and/or as an agent of DEFENDANTS during the events described herein, unless alleged otherwise.
- 8. The true names and capacities of Defendant DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to PLAINTIFF at this time, and PLAINTIFF therefore sues such Defendants under fictitious names. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each Defendant designated as a DOE is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to herein, and legally caused the injuries and damages alleged in this Complaint. PLAINTIFF will seek leave of the court to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. PLAINTIFF was employed by TESLA ENERGY and TESLA a solar roofer from approximately September 2020 to September 2021 in Santa Clara County, California. PLAINTIFF performed her job competently at all times material to this complaint.
- 10. While working at TESLA ENERGY and TESLA between September 2020 and September 2021, PLAINTIFF was directly supervised by GRIFFIN.
- 11. From approximately July 2021 to September 2021, while working at TESLA ENERGY and TESLA under the supervision of GRIFFIN, PLAINTIFF was subjected to sexually demeaning and explicit comments, racially harassing and discriminatory comments, and other harassing conduct, perpetrated by GRIFFIN and other TESLA ENERGY and TESLA managers and

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

employees. Such actions, which were offensive and unwelcome and created a hostile, abusive, and intimidating work environment, include, but are not limited to, the following:

A. GRIFFIN, who is white, frequently called PLAINTIFF, who is Black, "nigga." GRIFFIN also called PLAINTIFF "Sha-nay-nay," "fat ass," and made other inappropriate, sexual comments. PLAINTIFF tried ignoring GRIFFIN when he called her the nword and otherwise referred to her in a derogatory manner, as well as asking him to stop, but GRIFFIN continued.

- B. Another white TESLA employee, operations manager Silva (last name unknown), laughed at PLAINTIFF's hair, which was styled in dreadlocks, in front of a group. Silva then asked PLAINTIFF to take her hair wrap off so she could touch PLAINTIFF's hair. PLAINTIFF's manager, Frank Wu, was standing directly across from Silva, but said nothing. PLAINTIFF felt humiliated.
- C. DEFENDANTS also discriminated against PLAINTIFF on the basis of her gender. PLAINTIFF was the only woman on her solar roofing team. The men were given appropriate duties for the position, whereas PLAINTIFF was only given light duty work.
- D. PLAINTIFF complained about the discrimination and harassment she experienced to Mr. Wu. Soon thereafter, DEFENDANTS issued PLAINTIFF a performance improvement plan ("PIP"). The PIP stated in part that PLAINTIFF was not meeting performance requirements, but DEFENDANTS had denied PLAINTIFF proper training and work opportunities on the basis of her gender. This PIP subsequently prevented PLAINTIFF from seeking internal opportunities.
- E. On September 13, 2021, PLAINTIFF complained about the discrimination, harassment, and retaliatory PIP to TESLA's Human Resources department. Chenoa Chavez, an HR Partner with TESLA, met with PLAINTIFF regarding these concerns. Ms. Chavez then informed PLAINTIFF that TESLA was opening an investigation into the matter, and removing her from the crew where she worked with GRIFFIN. Instead of placing PLAINTIFF in another appropriate position as a solar roofer, however, TESLA assigned her to pick up trash—an assignment that still required her to see GRIFFIN.

10

11

9

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

25

26

27

As set forth above, DEFENDANTS' acts and omissions constitute violations of 16. California Government Code § 12940(j). PLAINTIFF timely filed a complaint against DEFENDANTS with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing and received a Right to Sue letter on January 21, 2022. DEFENDANTS sexually harassed PLAINTIFF by engaging in severe or pervasive conduct that created a hostile work environment on the basis of PLAINTIFF's sex.

- 17. TESLA ENERGY and TESLA knew, or should have known, of GRIFFIN's conduct and failed to properly investigate, reprimand, terminate, or take an appropriate disciplinary action against GRIFFIN for his egregious conduct, thereby ratifying his actions.
- 18. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful acts, practices, and omissions, PLAINTIFF has suffered monetary damages, humiliation, mental anguish, and physical and emotional distress, in an amount subject to proof at trial. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest thereon pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.
- 19. By engaging in the aforementioned unlawful acts, practices, and omissions, DEFENDANTS intended to cause injury to PLAINTIFF. DEFENDANTS' conduct was reckless, malicious, and despicable, and was carried on with a conscious and willful disregard of the rights and safety of others. Therefore, an award of punitive damages, sufficient to punish DEFENDANTS and to serve as an example to deter DEFENDANTS from similar conduct in the future, should be made. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages to be determined at trial. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest thereon pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288 and/or any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.
- 20. Additionally, PLAINTIFF seeks an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against DEFENDANTS pursuant to the California Fair Employment and Housing Act.

2	Gender and Race Discrimination in Violation of the Fair Employment and Housing		
3	Act		
4	[Cal. Gov't Code § 12940(a)]		
5	(Against DEFENDANTS TESLA ENERGY and TESLA)		
6	21. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the		
7	factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.		
8	22. At all relevant times herein, California Government Code § 12940 provided that		
9	"[i]t is an unlawful employment practice [f]or an employer, because of the race [,] sex, [or		
10	gender of any person to discriminate against the person in compensation or in terms		
11	conditions, or privileges of employment."		
12	23. As set forth above, TESLA ENERGY and TESLA's acts and omissions constitute		
13	violations of Government Code section 12940. TESLA ENERGY and TESLA discriminated		
14	against Plaintiff in compensation, conditions, and privileges of employment, on the basis of and		
15	because of her gender and race. PLAINTIFF was an employee of TESLA ENERGY and TESLA		
16	and was subjected to discrimination because she was a Black woman. PLAINTIFF was denied		
17	employment benefits and privileges, denied work opportunities and assignments, and was		
18	reprimanded. This conduct was severe and pervasive and so altered working conditions as to make		
19	it more difficult for PLAINTIFF to do her job. A reasonable person in PLAINTIFF's position would		
20	have considered the work environment to be hostile, just as PLAINTIFF did.		
21	24. PLAINTIFF believes, and thereon alleges, that her gender and race were the		
22	motivating factors in the wrongful employment actions and practices. Such discrimination is in		
23	violation of Government Code section 12940 and has resulted in damage and injury to PLAINTIFF,		
24	as alleged herein.		
25	25. As a direct and proximate result of TESLA ENERGY and TESLA's unlawful acts,		
26	practices, and omissions, PLAINTIFF has suffered monetary damages, humiliation, mental anguish,		
27	and physical and emotional distress, in an amount subject to proof at trial. PLAINTIFF claims such		
28	amount as damages together with prejudgment interest thereon pursuant to Civil Code sections		

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

19

18

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.

26. By engaging in the aforementioned unlawful acts, practices, and omissions, and by ratifying such acts, practices, and omissions, TESLA ENERGY and TESLA intended to cause injury to PLAINTIFF. TESLA ENERGY and TESLA's conduct was reckless, malicious, and despicable, and was carried on with a conscious and willful disregard of the rights and safety of others. By engaging in the aforementioned unlawful acts, practices, and omissions, and by ratifying such acts, practices, and omissions, TESLA ENERGY and TESLA intended to cause injury to PLAINTIFF. The individual and DOE defendants were officers, directors, or managing agents of the defendant corporation and personally engaged in the oppressive, fraudulent, or malicious conduct, and authorized or ratified that conduct. Therefore, PLAINTIFF seeks an award of punitive damages, sufficient to punish DEFENDANTS and to serve as an example to deter similar conduct in the future, in an amount according to proof at trial, together with prejudgment interest thereon pursuant to Civil Code sections 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.

27. Additionally, PLAINTIFF seeks an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against DEFENDANTS pursuant to the California Fair Employment and Housing Act.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Take Steps Necessary to Prevent Harassment, Discrimination, and/or Retaliation [Cal. Gov't Code § 12940(k)]

(Against DEFENDANTS TESLA ENERGY and TESLA)

- 28. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 29. At all relevant times, California Government Code § 12940 provided that "[i]t is an unlawful employment practice ... (k) [f]or an employer ... to fail to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and harassment from occurring."
- 30. As set forth above, TESLA ENERGY and TESLA's acts and omissions constitute violations of the California Government Code § 12940(k), in that they failed to take necessary steps to prevent harassment, and retaliation. PLAINTIFF filed a complaint with the California

Department of Fair Employment and Housing, and received a right to sue letter on January 21, 2022.						
ΓESLA ENERGY and TESLA failed to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent such						
narassment and retaliation from occurring.						

- 31. By reason of TESLA ENERGY and TESLA's unlawful acts, practices and omissions, PLAINTIFF has suffered monetary damages, humiliation, mental anguish, and physical and emotional distress, in an amount subject to proof at trial. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages together with pre-judgment interest thereon pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288 and any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.
- 32. TESLA ENERGY and TESLA knew, or should have known, of GRIFFIN's conduct and failed to properly investigate, reprimand, terminate, or take appropriate disciplinary action against GRIFFIN for his egregious conduct, thereby ratifying his actions. TESLA ENERGY and TESLA engaged in the aforementioned unlawful acts, practices and omissions alleged herein, and by ratifying such acts, engaged in intentional, reckless and willful, oppressive and malicious conduct, acted with willful and conscious disregard of PLAINTIFF's rights, welfare and safety, and caused great physical and emotional harm to PLAINTIFF. Therefore, an award of punitive damages, sufficient to punish TESLA ENERGY and TESLA and to serve as an example to deter them from similar conduct in the future, should be made. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages to be determined at trial. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest thereon pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288 and any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.
- 33. PLAINTIFF will also seek the costs and expenses of this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the California Fair Employment and Housing Act and California public policy.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Retaliation [Cal. Gov't Code § 12490(h)]

(Against DEFENDANTS TESLA ENERGY and TESLA)

34. PLAINTIFF incorporates by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

ATERN LAW GROUP 1230 ROSECRANS AVENUE, STE 200

MANHATTAN

BEACH, CA 90266

35. At all relevant times, California Government Code § 12940 provided that "[i]t is an unlawful employment practice . . . (h) [f]or any employer . . . to discharge, expel, or otherwise discriminate against any person because the person has opposed any practices forbidden under this part or because the person has filed a complaint, testified, or assisted in any proceeding under this part."

- 36. As set forth above, TESLA ENERGY and TESLA's acts and omissions constitute violations of the California Government Code § 12940(h), in that it continued to subject PLAINTIFF to intolerable working conditions following her complaints about GRIFFIN's conduct toward her and failed to investigate her complaints about him. TESLA ENERGY and TESLA failed to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent such harassment from occurring. PLAINTIFF filed a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing, and received a right to sue letter on January 21, 2022.
- 37. By reason of DEFENDANTS' unlawful acts, practices and omissions, PLAINTIFF has suffered monetary damages, humiliation, mental anguish, and physical and emotional distress, in an amount subject to proof at trial. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages together with pre-judgment interest thereon pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288 and any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.
- 38. DEFENDANTS engaged in the aforementioned unlawful acts, practices and omissions alleged herein, and by ratifying such acts, engaged in intentional, reckless and willful, oppressive and malicious conduct, acted with willful and conscious disregard of PLAINTIFF's rights, welfare and safety, and caused great physical and emotional harm to PLAINTIFF. Therefore, an award of punitive damages, sufficient to punish DEFENDANTS and to serve as an example to deter them from similar conduct in the future, should be made. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages to be determined at trial. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest thereon pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288 and any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.
- 39. PLAINTIFF will also seek the costs and expenses of this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the California Fair Employment and Housing Act and

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

3

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

4

5 6

40. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19 20

18

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

(Against All DEFENDANTS)

41. During all relevant times, GRIFFIN was employed by TESLA ENERGY and TESLA and was acting in his capacity as a supervisor at TESLA ENERGY and TESLA, such that TESLA ENERGY and TESLA are liable for GRIFFIN's conduct. TESLA ENERGY and TESLA knew, or should have known, of GRIFFIN's conduct and failed to properly investigate, reprimand, terminate, or take an appropriate disciplinary action against GRIFFIN for his egregious conduct, thereby ratifying his actions.

- 42. GRIFFIN's conduct, as set forth above, was outrageous in that it was so extreme as to exceed all bounds of decency. Further, DEFENDANTS' conduct would be regarded by any reasonable person as intolerable in a civilized community.
- 43. By engaging in the aforementioned conduct, GRIFFIN knew that his conduct would likely result in harm due to mental distress.
- 44. On information and belief, PLAINTIFF alleges that GRIFFIN acted with the intent to cause PLAINTIFF emotional distress or, at minimum, acted with reckless disregard of the probability that PLAINTIFF would suffer emotional distress.
- 45. By committing the outrageous and malicious acts and omissions alleged herein, DEFENDANTS knew, or should have known, that such conduct would result in PLAINTIFF's severe emotional distress. Moreover, DEFENDANTS' acts and omissions were perpetrated with the intent of inflicting humiliation, mental anguish, and severe emotional distress upon PLAINTIFF.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful acts, practices, and omissions, PLAINTIFF has suffered severe emotional distress, in an amount subject to proof at trial. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest thereon pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable provision providing for

prejudgment interest.

47. DEFENDANTS engaged in the aforementioned unlawful acts, practices, and omissions and/or ratified such acts, practices, and omissions. In doing so, DEFENDANTS engaged in intentional, reckless, willful, oppressive, and malicious conduct, acted with willful and conscious disregard of PLAINTIFF's rights, welfare, and safety, and caused great physical and/or emotional harm to PLAINTIFF. Therefore, an award of punitive damages, sufficient to punish DEFENDANTS and to deter them and others from similar conduct in the future, is appropriate. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages to be determined at trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligent Hiring, Supervision, and/or Retention

(Against DEFENDANTS TESLA ENERGY and TESLA)

- 48. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the factual allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 49. As alleged above, GRIFFIN was incompetent and/or unfit to perform the work for which TESLA ENERGY and TESLA hired him.
- 50. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that TESLA ENERGY and TESLA knew, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have known that GRIFFIN, who committed the harassing conduct, was incompetent and unfit to perform the duties for which he was hired, and that an undue risk to persons such as PLAINTIFF would exist because of his employment. TESLA ENERGY and TESLA retained the employees responsible for the acts described above in conscious disregard for the rights and well-being of others, including PLAINTIFF.
- 51. TESLA ENERGY and TESLA had a duty to use reasonable care and to properly supervise their managers, employees, and agents, which it breached, thereby causing injury to PLAINTIFF in the form of the harassment and retaliation alleged above. PLAINTIFF in no manner consented to such outrageous and dangerous conduct.
- 52. TESLA ENERGY and TESLA's negligence in hiring, supervising, and/or retaining GRIFFIN was a substantial factor in causing PLAINTIFF's harm.

9

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

27

53. As a direct and proximate result of TESLA ENERGY and TESLA's unlawful acts, practices, and omissions, PLAINTIFF has suffered monetary damages, humiliation, mental anguish, and physical and emotional distress in an amount subject to proof at trial. PLAINTIFF claims such amounts as damages together with prejudgment interest thereon pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288 and/or any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.

54. By engaging in the aforementioned unlawful acts, practices, omissions, and by condoning and ratifying such acts by failing to properly investigate and adequately discipline the perpetrators of these practices and omissions, TESLA ENERGY and TESLA intended to cause injury to PLAINTIFF. TESLA ENERGY and TESLA's intentional and injurious conduct toward PLAINTIFF was reckless, malicious, and despicable, and was carried out with a conscious and willful disregard of the rights and safety of others. Therefore, PLAINTIFF seeks an award of punitive damages, sufficient to punish TESLA ENERGY and TESLA and to serve as an example to deter them from similar conduct in the future. PLAINTIFF claims such amounts as damages together with prejudgment interest thereon pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Constructive Discharge in Violation of Public Policy

(Against DEFENDANTS TESLA ENERGY and TESLA)

- 55. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 56. At all times relevant in this action, Article 1, Section 8 of the California Constitution and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act was in full force and effect, and was binding on DEFENDANTS. Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution, the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, and the public policy of the State of California based thereupon prohibit DEFENDANTS from discriminating against or harassing an employee because of race or gender, from retaliating against an employee because she protests harassment or discrimination, and from failing to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and harassment from occurring.

57. Each of the aforementioned statutes embodies a fundamental and well-established public policy in the State of California.

58. PLAINTIFF believes, and thereon alleges, that her race and gender were substantial motivating factors in DEFENDANTS' conduct, as set forth above, including but not limited to the constructive discharge of PLAINTIFF's employment. PLAINTIFF further alleges that DEFENDANTS retaliated against PLAINTIFF, including, but not limited to, by constructively discharging her employment, because she opposed DEFENDANTS' unlawful employment practices prohibited under the Fair Employment and Housing Act. Accordingly, PLAINTIFF was subject to working conditions by DEFENDANTS that violated public policy.

- 59. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' unlawful acts, practices, and omissions, PLAINTIFF has suffered monetary damages, humiliation, mental anguish, and physical and emotional distress, in an amount subject to proof at trial. PLAINTIFF claims such amount as damages together with prejudgment interest thereon pursuant to Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288 and/or any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.
- 60. By engaging in the aforementioned unlawful acts, practices, and omissions, and by ratifying such acts, practices, and omissions, DEFENDANTS intended to cause injury to PLAINTIFF. DEFENDANTS' conduct was reckless, malicious, and despicable, and was carried on with a conscious and willful disregard of the rights and safety of others. Therefore, PLAINTIFF seeks an award of punitive damages, sufficient to punish DEFENDANTS and to serve as an example to deter similar conduct in the future, in an amount according to proof at trial, together with prejudgment interest thereon pursuant to Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF prays for judgment against DEFENDANTS as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages on PLAINTIFF's economic losses, deprivation of civil rights, humiliation, physical anguish, and mental and emotional distress;
- 2. For injunctive relief permanently enjoining DEFENDANTS and their agents, employees and successors, and all persons in active conduct or participation with DEFENDANTS

1	from engaging in discriminatory and harassing practices;		
2	3.	For an award of punitive and exemplary damages on each cause of action as	
3	permitted by law;		
4	4.	For interest accrued to date pursuant to Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or and other	
5	applicable provision providing for prejudgment interest;		
6	5.	For an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, pursuant to the	
7	California Fair Employment and Housing Act, California Government Code § 12965, and all other		
8	applicable statutes providing for attorneys' fees and costs; and		
9	6.	For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.	
10	DATED: January 27, 2022 Respectfully submitted, MATERN LAW GROUP, PC		
11			
12			
13		By:	
14		MATTHEW J. MATERN JOSHUA D. BOXER	
15		COREY B. BENNETT CLARE E. MORAN	
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff SHANEL DICKSON	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

MATERN LAW GROUP
1230 ROSECRANS
AVENUE, STE 200
MANHATTAN
BEACH, CA 90266

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PLAINTIFF hereby demands a jury trial with respect to all issues triable of right by jury. DATED: January 27, 2022 Respectfully submitted, MATERN LAW GROUP, PC By: MATTHEW J. MATERN JOSHUA D. BOXER COREY B. BENNETT CLARE E. MORAN Attorneys for Plaintiff SHANEL DICKSON

28
MATERN LAW GROUP
1230 ROSECRANS
AVENUE, STE 200
MANHATTAN
BEACH, CA 90266